



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

249

CRWP-8157-2024

Date of decision: 04.09.2024

Harmanpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Shiv Kumar Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has failed to satisfy this Court as to how petitioner No.1, who admittedly is a minor, is in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2. On a pointed query, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is not in fact a live in relationship but petitioner No.1 had of her own accord decided to move into the house of petitioner No.2.

3. However, a perusal of the petition reveals an altogether different story. The narrative in the petition particularly in paragraph 3

CRWP-8157-2024

is that petitioner No.1, a minor, is in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2.

4. When the said averments were pointed to the learned counsel for the petitioners, he submits that it was a typographical error and hence, he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

04.09.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Vinay

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |