



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-19336-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 22.11.2024

Tarnesh Kumari ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

**CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Ms. Neha Randhawa, Advocate, for
Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent(s)-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent(s)-HSVP, on instructions, submits that land holding of the petitioner was acquired by the State Government for a public purpose. Thus, being an oustee, she is seeking allotment of a suitable site/plot as per her entitlement. It is urged that within four (4) weeks from today, the necessary advertisement to satisfy the claim of the oustees would be issued and the petitioner shall be at liberty to move the requisite application(s) in response thereto for allotment. In case, she is found eligible as per the eligibility criterion as laid down in the policy dated August 11, 2016, amended on May 8, 2018, her name would be included in draw of lots. And, if she succeeds, she would be allotted a suitable site/plot.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent(s). However, in case, any dispute, cause of action or interest still survives, he be granted liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of the petition and its decision on merits.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of, binding them to the same.

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

22.11.2024
Rajan

**(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge**