



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41510-2024

Date of Decision : 03.10.2024

DIPANSHU SEHGAL

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 28.08.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of pre-arrest bail, in case FIR No.13 dated 21.02.2024, under Sections 307, 323, 506, 160, 148, 149 of the IPC, and, Section 25 of the Arms Act (Sections 201, 212, 216 and 120-B of the IPC added subsequently), registered at P.S. Division No.01, District Ludhiana.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, although the petitioner has been named in the present FIR, however, no role has been attributed therein to him. He further submits that, except the secret information, which constituted the bedrock for registration of the present FIR, the investigating agency is not seized of any other cogent inculpatory evidence, which could connect the petitioner with the alleged crime.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that, since many of the petitioner’s co-accused have already been granted the relief of regular bail by this Court and by the learned Additional Sessions Judge concerned, as is evident from Annexures P-2 and P-3, therefore, since the petitioner is on a co-equal pedestal as his co-accused, he deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.
4. Lastly, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, although the petitioner was earlier involved in three more cases, however, he has earned acquittal in all those three cases.
5. Notice of motion for 03.10.2024.
6. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.
7. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon

to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 28.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

October 03, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No