



CRM-M No.40807-2024 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M No.40807-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 12.12.2024

Gobind @ Golu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU****Present:** Mr. Sukesh Kumar Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present second petition has been filed, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for seeking bail pending trial in FIR No. 637 dated 27.07.2020, under Sections 323, 302, 341, 120-B, 148 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with his co-accused in furtherance of their common intention caused injuries to Manpreet @ Sonu, that resulted into his death.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was arrested in the present case on 14.02.2023 and after remaining in custody for about 01 years and 08 months, was granted interim bail by this Court on 22.10.2024. Further contends that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, he is regularly appearing before learned trial Court and there has been no progress of trial. Further submits that there is no allegation that petitioner is likely to misuse the concession or hamper the proceedings in any manner.



CRM-M No.40807-2024      2

4. *Per contra*, learned State Counsel, on instructions from quarter concerned, has acknowledged the above factual position and submits that petitioner is regularly appearing before learned trial Court. He also acknowledged that petitioner has not misused the concession of interim bail granted by this Court on 22.10.2024.

5. Heard learned counsel for both the sides and perused the paper book.

6. This Court granted interim bail to the petitioner on 22.10.2024 in the following manner:-

*“Contends inter alia that petitioner is in custody since 14.02.2023; all the remaining co-accused, including main accused have already been granted the concession of bail pending trial by the Coordinate Benches and there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.*

*Learned State counsel seeks time to verify the above factual position.*

*Posted for 12.12.2024.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.”*

7. Learned State counsel has duly acknowledged that petitioner is regularly appearing before learned trial Court and there is no allegation that in case, interim bail is made absolute, he is likely to misuse the concession or hamper the proceedings in any manner. There has been no progress of trial after grant of interim bail to petitioner. In such a scenario, sending the petitioner to custody at this stage would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed. Interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 22.10.2024, is made absolute. He shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to

fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary



**CRM-M No.40807-2024      3**

adjournment(s).

10.            The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.            It is clarified that in case there is any misuse of concession by the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**12.12.2024**

Rajeev (rvs)

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No