

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

226

CWP-23936-2021
Decided on : September 13, 2024

GURBINDER PAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr.Dhiraj Chawla , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Saguna Arora, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aditya Pratap Duggal, Advocate
for Respondent No.3.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India for quashing the impugned order dated 21.09.2021 (Annexure P-6), whereby his claim for grant of 2nd ACP, on completion of 09 years of service on 24.12.2020, has been rejected, despite having granted 1st ACP vide order dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) on completion of 04 years' service i.e. on 24.12.2015 and further a writ of *mandamus* has been sought to grant 2nd ACP on completion of 9 years of service on 24.12.2020, with all consequential benefits.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim w.r.t. grant of 2nd ACP, as raised by the petitioner in the present petition, has already been granted by the respondents to the petitioner w.e.f. 24.12.2020, vide order dated 24.05.2024, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous. Photocopy of said order is taken on record.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

September 13, 2024

mkkoundal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No