



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-25526-2019

Date of decision : 16.12.2024

Hari Krishan Saini

.....Petitioner

V/S

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and othersRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate with
Ms. Karishma Sharma, Advocate for the respondents

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, quashing the impugned office order dated 11.12.2018 (Annexure P-10) as well as the impugned order dated 23.01.2019 (Annexure P-11), issued by respondent No.2, withdrawing the benefit of first and second time bound higher pay scales granted to the petitioner in the year 2002 and 2009 after completion of 9/16 years of service, due to foregoing of promotion in the year 2012.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition is squarely covered by the judgment dated 12.08.2010 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***CWP No.2587 of 2009 titled as 'Rattan Chand Vs. Punjab State Electricity Board and others'***

(Annexure P-9) which has been followed in ***CWP No.371 of 2007 titled***



CWP-25526-2019 2

as ‘Pritam Singh Vs. Punjab State Electricity Board and others’ decided on 12.10.2010 (Annexure P-12) and Rajinder Parshad Raturi Vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another : 2013(1) S.C.T. 320 (Annexure P-13) and thereafter, in CWP No.15549 of 2012 titled as ‘Sanjay Jindal Vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another’ decided on 18.08.2023 against which LPA No.1803 of 2024 tilted as ‘Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another Vs. Sanjay Jindal’ filed by the Corporation has been dismissed by the Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 17.09.2024.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents despite his best efforts could not dispute the abovesaid legal position.
4. Consequently, the present petition is allowed in the same terms as in *CWP No.2587 of 2009 titled as ‘Rattan Chand Vs. Punjab State Electricity Board and others’*, decided on 12.08.2010.

16.12.2024	(NAMIT KUMAR)
<i>kothiyal</i>	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No