

125

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH



CWP-20806-2024

Date of Decision: 28.08.2024

SUPREET SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Rohit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari*, quashing the result dated 10.08.2024, Annexure P-3, for the post of Trained Graduate Teacher (TGT), Punjabi, pursuant to advertisement no. 4/2023, dated 12.09.2023, Annexure P-1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was an applicant for the post and submitted his form in response thereto. The date of screening test was not made known to him by the Commission, and he could not take the test on 19.11.2023. The result of selection has been declared on 10.08.2024 which needs to be set aside, since the Commission has not been fair in following the procedure to inform the candidates about the screening test and ensure their participation in the selection process.

3. Heard.

4. The Commssion announced the advertisement online on its website. In response thereto the petitioner uploaded his application form

along with relevant documents. As per stipulation in the advertisement at no. 16.17, "all notices pertaining to recruitment shall be displayed on website. Therefore, all applicants are advised to visit the website for information, and updation as no individual information shall be given to the candidates". In terms of clear stipulation, there was no requirement for the Commission to issue any individual notice to the candidates, including the petitioner. It is also not disputed that in response to the notices/information displayed on the website, other candidates appeared for the screening test and duly participated in the selection process. The petitioner was also required to visit the Commission's website and keep himself updated about the latest information regarding the selection process. In case he failed to do so, the Commission is not to be blamed. The petition is bereft of any legal issue requiring adjudication, and is misconceived.

5. Dismissed *in limine*.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

28.08.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No