

-1-

6) **CWP-9375-2018**

ROHIT **...PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. **...RESPONDENTS**



CWP-8927-2018 (O&M)
and other connected matters

-2-

7) CWP-9314-2018
MUNISH ...PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

8) CWP-9717-2018
RAVI KUMAR ...PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

9) CWP-11137-2018
SARVAN ...PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

10) CWP-9683-2018
ANIL KUMAR ...PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

11) CWP-11184-2018
PANKAJ ...PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Ankush Thakral, Advocate for
Mr. Jatinderpal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s) in all cases.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate and
Mr. Sarthak Soni, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 4.



**CWP-8927-2018 (O&M)
and other connected matters**

-3-

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a bunch of eleven petitions as the similar question of law and facts are involved therein for adjudication. The facts are taken from CWP-8927-2018 (Jonson Versus State of Punjab and others).
2. The petitioners who are working as Sewarman and were engaged on outsourced basis, have filed the instant petitions under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent No.3 to consider the case of the petitioner(s) for regularization of their services as Sewarman with the respondent-Municipal Corporation, in terms of policy decision dated 16.12.2016 (Annexure P-1) and resolution No.161 passed and approved by respondent No.4-Municipal Corporation (Annexure P-2).
3. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 and 4 submits that all the employees who were working on outsourced basis have now been converted as contractual employees from 12.07.2024 and as on date the petitioners are not eligible for regularization as per policy decision dated 16.12.2016 (Annexure P-1).
4. In view of the statement given by the learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 and 4, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) at this stage, wishes to withdraw the petitions with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action with better particulars at an appropriate stage.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

29.08.2024
renubala

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No