



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(140)

CWP No. 21755 of 2024
Date of Decision : 02.09.2024

Raja Ashok

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shashi Bhushan Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been paid interest on the arrears of the wrongly denied promotion despite the fact that the promotion was granted to the petitioner retrospectively.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similar relief has already been granted to the similarly situated person by this Court while passing order in CWP No. 1839 of 2013 titled as ***Avtar Singh Vs. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab and others***, decided on 01.06.2018 and, therefore, respondents are under obligation to grant the petitioner the benefit of the same.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 07.03.2024 (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the



respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 07.03.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 02, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No