



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25391 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision :27.05.2024

Satya Devi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory Administration Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present : Mr. N.S.Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that during the pendency of this petition, claim of petitioner No.1 for allotment of a tenement under the Licensing of Tenement and Sites and Services in Chandigarh Scheme, 1979, was examined and vide order dated 05.03.2024, she has been found ineligible per clause 7(iv) of the said scheme. Accordingly, her representation has since been rejected. It is urged that petitioner No.1, if aggrieved, would have to assail the said order in independent proceedings.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners submits, for, the petition is rendered infructuous, the same be disposed of as such. And, petitioner No.1, if so advised, would assail the order dated 05.03.2024 in appropriate proceedings.

In the wake of the above, the petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

27.05.2024

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No