



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**INCOMP-1204-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 21.11.2024**

LOUA GILBERT ALIAS OBIWULA ...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The aforesaid petition was filed on 18.11.2021 by Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate. The Registry had raised objection(s) on the case file on 22.11.2021.

As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objection(s). On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was regularly published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the Advocate(s) were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections.

No steps for collection of the petition for re-filing of the same after removal of objection(s) have been taken by the Advocate. It



INCOMP-1204-2024

-2-

seems that the Advocate has no pending interest and the petitioner(s) does not intend to pursue further.

Keeping in view of the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed on the case file. Disposed of accordingly.

The file be consigned to record room.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

21.11.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>