



CWP-22393-2022

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

253

CWP-22393-2022

Date of Decision :20.05.2024

Pinky Rani**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and another****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr Parveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the benefit of 05 marks given to the petitioner under the socio-economic criteria has been withdrawn by the respondents though, the petitioner was eligible for the grant of the said marks.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits as per the terms and conditions of the advertisement for the grant of benefit of 05 marks under the socio-economic criteria, nobody from the family of the applicant should be in the Government job in Haryana whereas, in the present case, brother of the petitioner is in the Government job in Haryana hence, 05 marks granted to the petitioner under the socio-economic criteria has been withdrawn.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone



CWP-22393-2022

-2-

through the record with their able assistance.

It is a settled principle of law that the terms and conditions of the advertisement are sacrosanct. It is also conceded that as per the terms and conditions of the advertisement, benefit of 05 marks under the socio-economic criteria can only be granted in case, no family member of the applicant is in the Government job in Haryana. In the criteria, it has been mentioned that in case, father, mother, brother, spouse or son of the applicant are in government job of Haryana, the benefit of 05 marks under the socio-economic criteria cannot be claimed and in the present case, learned counsel for the petitioner conceded the fact that brother of the petitioner is in the Government job of Haryana. Once, the grant of benefit of 05 marks under the socio-economic criteria is not admissible to the petitioner keeping in view the terms and conditions of the advertisement, no grievance can be raised by the petitioner.

Keeping in view the above, no ground for interference by this Court is made out and the present petition is accordingly dismissed.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

May 20, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No