



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(223)

CWP No. 23914 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 30.08.2024

Maninder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vijay Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that his pension has not been enhanced though, he is entitled for the same.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the pension of the petitioner has already been fixed from ₹9,614/- to ₹17,807/- per month.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner had taken time on the last date of hearing to get instructions whether he is getting the pension of ₹17,807/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the instructions received from the petitioner, he is not being paid the said fixation of correct pension of ₹17,807/- .

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, petitioner is not receiving the said pension of ₹17,807/-, appropriate direction will be issued by the competent authority to not only revise the pension to



₹17,807/- but should also be paid arrears from the date the pension has been fixed and within a period of two weeks from today, the petitioner will receive the benefit.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the petition in case, the undertaking given by the learned State counsel is not complied with.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 30, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No