



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP-20720-2024(O&M)  
Date of decision: 27.08.2024

Sandeep Kumar Sharma  
... Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab and others  
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI  
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.  
Mr. Jastej Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.  
Ms. Avin Kaur Sandhu, Advocate,  
for respondents No.2 to 6-PSPCL.  
\*\*\*

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition so as to enable the petitioner to avail such other remedies, as shall be admissible in law, and also to move the respondent-authorities, in the first instance, qua his concerns/ grievances, particularly as the legal notice dated July 4, 2024 (P-4), the respondent-authorities have already been served with, is pending consideration.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Jastej Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab and Ms. Avin Kaur Sandhu, Advocate are present in Court on behalf of respondent No.1 and respondents No.2 to 6, respectively.



At the outset, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab submits that in the event, any such representation/legal notice, as indicated above, is pending before the competent authority, the same shall be considered and dealt with, in accordance with law. And necessary orders thereon shall be passed as expeditiously as possible, preferably within 4 weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, as also the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

**(Arun Palli)**  
**Judge**

**(Vikram Aggarwal)**  
**Judge**

**27.08.2024**  
Rajan

|                              |        |
|------------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned: | YES/NO |
| Whether Reportable:          | YES/NO |