



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CRWP-8019-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.08.2024

Bhumika and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Sanawar Ali, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-W-1057-2024

Allowed as prayed for.

CRWP-8019-2024

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 19 years and petitioner No.2, aged about 21 years, got married on 14.08.2024, against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 17.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal for protection of their life and liberty



CRWP-8019-2024 (O&M)

but in vain. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal to look into their representation dated 17.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal to look into the representation dated 17.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

21.08.2024

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No