

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

218

CWP-7940-2018 (O&M)

Decided on :01.05.2024

DHARAMVIR & OTHERS

. .petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Eklavya Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Ashok Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the present petition, the claim of the petitioners is that their services on ad-hoc basis prior to regularization of their services are to be treated as valid service for the purpose of seniority.

2. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioners are claiming the benefit of seniority on the basis of the adhoc service rendered by the petitioners but keeping in view the judgment of the **Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Malook Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2021 (4) SCT 305**, the benefit of adhoc service cannot be given towards seniority, hence, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to rebut the fact that the question of law raised in the present petition is squarely covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Malook Singh's case (supra)**.

4. Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances recorded

herein above, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the present petition, hence, the present petition stands dismissed.

5. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

01.05.2024
Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*