



COC-2958-2024(O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(139)

COC-2958-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.09.2024

Rajeev Chandra

.....Petitioner

Versus

Radhu Srinivasan and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJAPresent: Mr. Shreenath A Khemka, Advocate, for the petitioners
(through V.C.).

Mr. Arun Gossain, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for willful non-compliance of the orders dated 16.03.2022 and 23.01.2024 passed by this Court in CWP-3348-2021 & LPA-440-2022 respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents informs that the process of review DPC has already commenced and necessary promotion order in terms of the decision dated 16.03.2022 passed by the Writ Court shall be issued within a period of 8 weeks from today.

3. In view of the aforesaid undertaking, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition at this stage and submits that the same be disposed of with liberty to revive the same in case needful is not done.

Ordered accordingly.

**COCP-2958-2024(O&M)****2**

5. However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality, the respondent would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.1,00,000/- as costs from his own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

6. Rule stands discharged.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

26.09.2024
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No