



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP No. 20533 of 2024
Date of Decision : 27.08.2024

Goldi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that she competed for the post of Medical Laboratory Technician Grade-II, as advertised by the respondents and though, the petitioner is in merit but she has not been given appointment on the post in question on the ground that the degree possessed by the petitioner is not valid as the same has been obtained through a Distance Education Mode.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was no terms and conditions in the advertisement that the Degree obtained through Distance Education Mode will not be treated as a valid, hence, respondents are under obligation to consider the petitioner eligible for the post in question.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 05.03.2024



(Annexure P-14) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 05.03.2024 (Annexure P-14) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 27, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No