

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CWP-28364-2022  
Date of Decision: 05.04.2024  
\*\*\*\*

Jagmohinder Singh ..... Petitioner  
Versus

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board and others ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for the respondents.  
\*\*\*\*

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a suitable writ, order or direction especially in the nature of *mandamus* for directing the respondents to consider and promote the petitioner against one post of ‘Circle Head Draftsman’ in 3% quota reserved for disabled persons with all consequential benefits.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties have stated that the petitioner has already been promoted and has also retired.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner specifically submitted that in view of the aforesaid factual position, the present petition has become infructuous and therefore, may be disposed of accordingly.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been become infructuous.

05.04.2024  
Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)  
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No