



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22858 of 2024

Date of decision: 10.09.2024

Bunty

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pratap Singh Gill, Advocate,
for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to give compassionate appointment to the petitioner in lieu of her mother late Smt. Krishna Devi, who was working in the respondent-Corporation as part-time Sweeper. Further prayer has been made to pay the full salary; arrears of full salary from the date of her appointment along with all consequential benefits viz. salary arising therefrom to the petitioner along with interest @ 12% per annum from the due date till its actual payment.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the services of the mother of the petitioner have been ordered to be regularised vide order dated 07.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court in LPA No.95 of 2019 and other connected cases decided on 23.12.2022 and in the interregnum, mother of the petitioner



who was working as a part-time Sweeper unfortunately died on 12.01.2018. The petitioner has submitted representation dated 15.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) seeking compassionate appointment, however, the same has not been responded to by the respondents. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the said representation is considered and decided by passing a speaking order in a time-bound frame.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Pratap Singh Gill, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
- 6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in the present petition, respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the claim made by the petitioner in representation dated 15.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
- 7. The petition stands disposed of.

10.09.2024
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No