



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-20073-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 20.08.2024

Health Choice Poultry Farms
... Petitioner
Versus
Union of India and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Maneesh Bali, Senior Panel Counsel,
for the respondent(s)-UOI.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (Health Choice Poultry Farms) has prayed for the following substantive relief:

“CIVIL WRIT PETITION under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of parity the nature of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, direction or order directing Respondents to issue Tender Notes to the Petitioner for the supply of dressed frozen/chilled chicken in terms of the contract(s) awarded to the petitioner (Annexure P.6 to Annexure P.11); for issuance of parity the nature of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, direction or order directing Respondents to accept/take supply of dressed frozen/chilled chicken in terms of the contract(s) awarded to the petitioner (Annexure P.6 to Annexure P.11), while discontinuity the practice of opening the dressed frozen/chilled chicken through local supplies, at a higher rate; AND for the grant of any other relief



which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent-authorities with the representations dated May 31, 2024 (P-28) and June 5, 2024 (P-29), as regards its concerns/grievances, but to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Maneesh Bali, learned Senior Panel Counsel is present in Court on behalf of the respondent(s). He submits that since the respondent-authorities are already in *seisin* of the representation(s) alleged to have been submitted by the petitioner, it shall be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority to consider the concerns/grievances of the petitioner. And pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by learned Senior Panel counsel for the respondent(s). However, he submits that the authorities be directed to take a decision within a specified time.

In response, learned Senior Panel counsel appearing for the respondent(s) submits that necessary orders shall be passed within a period of 8 weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of. This Court is sanguine that the respondent-authorities shall consider the matter in the right earnest, and pass the appropriate orders in accordance with law.



Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

20.08.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO