

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42837-2023
Date of Decision:03.04.2024

SANDEEP KUMAR	...	Petitioner(s)
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER	Vs.	...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vipul Sharma, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 2.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. with a prayer to quash the FIR No. 0111 dated 31.05.2022 under Sections 323, 34, 506 of IPC (Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sector 20, Panchkula (Annexure P-1) on the basis of the compromise dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-2).
2. Vide order dated 23.01.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court for recording their statement qua the compromise within a period of 02 weeks and the concerned Court was directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing. However, the parties did not appear before the Court for getting their statements recorded.

3. Again, on 25.02.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the concerned Area Magistrate/trial Court for recording statements qua the compromise within a period of 02 weeks.

4. A report has been received from the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panchkula. It has been mentioned in the said report that the parties did not appear before the Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise. Consequently, it is apparent that there was no valid compromise between the parties and petition is accordingly ordered to be dismissed.

03.04.2024
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No