



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-40167-2024

Date of decision: 25.11.2024

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.17 dated 11.04.2024 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, District Kapurthala.
2. On the last date of hearing, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that a dispute which is essentially of a civil nature has been intentionally twisted to be given a criminal colour; a civil suit with respect to the property left behind by deceased Sukhdev Singh (husband of the complainant) has already been filed before the Civil Court at Sultanpur Lodhi. In support, learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure P-3).”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 21.08.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 21.08.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

25.11.2024.
anil

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No