



CWP-18581-2023

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(274)

CWP-18581-2023

Date of Decision : September 30, 2024

Baldev Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charan Preet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, following order was passed:-

“ Learned State counsel prays for and is granted last opportunity to file reply, within a period of 8 weeks, failing which it shall be accepted subject to costs of Rs.10,000/-, to be paid by the erring official(s) to the petitioner.

Adjourned to 30.09.2024.”

2. No reply has been filed by the respondents so far.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similar benefits as being prayed by the petitioner have already been released by the respondents to number of employees but the petitioner's claim is not being considered despite the fact that notice of motion was issued about a year back hence, the petitioner will be satisfied in case the respondents are

directed to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 04.08.2022

**CWP-18581-2023****2**

(Annexure P-10) keeping in view the recommendations which the Department has made vide order dated 08.06.2022 (Annexure P-8), 25.01.2023 (Annexure P-12) and 03.05.2023 (Annexure P-15), by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case the representation dated 04.08.2022 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority by keeping in mind the recommendations which the Department has made vide order dated 08.06.2022 (Annexure P-8), 25.01.2023 (Annexure P-12) and 03.05.2023 (Annexure P-15), within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 30, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No