



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20227-2024

Date of decision: 22.08.2024

Virpal Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India praying for writ and/or order and/or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondent to act in accordance with the provisions of The Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran Act, 1977 and not violate the said act and further constitutional rights of the petitioners AND to restrain the respondent from illegally demolishing the structure as raised by the petitioners in their land (as mentioned in the body of the petition) during the pendency of the present petition.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are residents of House No.43, VTC, Pilchian (175), measuring 605 square yards, in Ward 13, Arora Colony, Ratia, District Fatehabad, Haryana. Further, he submits that on 10.08.2024, a team headed by Junior Engineer (Chander Mohan), with machines and tools, came to the house of the petitioners and tried to demolish the structure raised by them



on their land. He asserts that neither the petitioners were served with any show cause notice alleging any violations/deviations or for causing any encroachment, nor were they heard by the authorities in this regard. Thus, the action of the concerned officials of the respondent authorities is arbitrary and unjust. It is urged that all what the petitioners pray for is: to direct the respondent authorities to proceed, in accordance with law.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Vaneet Soni, learned counsel for the respondent, is present in Court. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits that if any action is warranted or the authorities intend to proceed against the petitioner, a due process of law shall be followed.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

22.08.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No