



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-40210-2024

Date of decision: 22.10.2024

Amardeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

Ms. Sonia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.53 dated 27.02.2019 under Sections 406/494/498-A of the IPC registered at Police Station Women Sector-17, Chandigarh.

2. On 21.08.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that no doubt it is the petitioner's second petition for grant of anticipatory bail, however, subsequent to the dismissal of the first petition under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement and settled all their disputes vide compromise deed dated 12.08.2024 annexed as Annexure P-4. It has also been submitted that the present FIR emanates from a matrimonial dispute between the parties. It has further been submitted that CRM-M-40232-2024 has also been



CRM-M-40210-2024

-2-

filed before this Court for quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of the compromise effected between the parties.”

3. Learned standing counsel for U.T., Chandigarh, on instructions, has informed the Court that in compliance of order dated 21.08.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. It has also been brought to the notice of this Court by learned standing counsel for U.T., Chandigarh on instructions that the FIR in question already stands quashed vide order of even date passed in CRM-M-40232-2024 on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

4. In view of the above, the petition is has been rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

22.10.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No