

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(228)

**IOIN-FAO-6305-2016 in/and
FAO-6305-2016
Date of Decision : February 21, 2023**

Santosh and another

.. Appellants

Versus

Bed Parkash and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. S.S. Khurana, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, following order was passed:-

“Mr. S.S.Khurana, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 by filing vakalatnama and the same is taken on record.

As per office report, notice to respondent No.1 remained unserved as not residing at the given address since long and notice to respondent No.3 has not been received back served or otherwise.

Learned counsel for the appellants is granted two weeks' time to furnish correct address of respondent No.1.

On his furnishing correct address, let fresh notice to respondents No.1 and 3 be issued for 21.02.2023.

Registry is directed to notify learned counsel for the appellants about the date fixed.”

Though learned counsel for the appellants has already been notified by the Registry keeping in view the office report but no one has appeared on behalf of the appellants today to press the present appeal. Even respondents No. 1 and 3 are yet to be served as correct address is not furnished by the appellants.

As no effective steps are being taken to serve the respondents,
it shows that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the present appeal.

IOIN is disposed of and the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Registry is directed to convey the present order to the appellants for information.

February 21, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No