



record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. Thereafter, on 03.10.2024 and 04.11.2024, the parties were again directed to appear before the jurisdictional/Illaq Magistrate within a period of two weeks. In compliance of the aforesaid orders, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.15 dated 21.01.2019 under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Lakhan Majra, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

December 09, 2024
manisha