

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218 CRM-M-41940-2023
Date of Decision.:25.01.2024

Parasdeep SinghPetitioner
Vs.
State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Atinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Naresh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of 2nd petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.75 dated 03.06.2022 registered under Sections 379-B(2), 323, 336, 34 of the IPC & Section 25, 27 of Arms Act (Sections 411 & 201 of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sultanwind, District Amritsar. The earlier petition bearing No. CRM-M-24441-2023 was dismissed as withdrawn, at that stage vide order dated 18.05.2023 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that co-accused Sahilpreet Singh @ Sohi, Harpreet Singh @ Amit Judo and Nikhil @ Bholu have already been allowed bail by this Court by way of different orders. Learned counsel further contends that trial is likely to take time long time as not even a single witness has been examined so far. Attention is also drawn

CRM-M-41940-2023

towards the custody period of the petitioner as he is in custody for the more than 01 year and 07 months.

3. Learned State counsel could not refute the aforesaid contention to the effect that petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year 07 months and 19 days as per the custody certificate. On instructions from ASI Naresh, it is also informed by learned State counsel that out of 21 witnesses cited by the prosecution, not even a single witness has been examined so far. Co-accused Sahilpreet Singh @ Sohi was allowed bail by this Court vide order dated 05.12.2023 passed in CRM-48060-2023 in/and CRM-M-54728-2022; another co-accused Harpreet Singh @ Amit Judo was allowed bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.09.2023 passed in CRM-M-12743-2023 (O&M) & Nikhil @ Bholu was allowed bail by this Court vide order dated 06.11.2023 passed in CRM-M-31547-2023.

4. Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the custody period of the petitioner and the fact that trial may take long time to conclude, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 25, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No