



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20165-2024

Date of decision : 21.08.2024

Khushi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Senior Panel Counsel, UOI,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Arun Gosain, Advocate,
for respondent No.2 – NTA.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. Petitioner in the present case, who had appeared in National Eligibility-cum-Entrance Test (NEET) UG-2024, is seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus against the respondents to re-evaluate two questions, mentioned in representation dated 26.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioner, by contending that the said questions had though been rightly answered by her, but marks for the same were not awarded. Further prayer is made for grant of grace marks.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that the relevant rules/regulations/executive instructions, governing the holding of



NEET UG-2024 examinations, do not permit re-evaluation or grant of grace marks.

3. In the absence of any enabling provision, this Court cannot help the petitioner, in view of settled law laid down by Supreme Court in **H.P. Public Service Commission Vs. Mukesh Thakur and another, AIR 2010 SC 2620; Ran Vijay Singh and others Vs. State of U.P. and others, AIR 2018 SC 52; U.P.P.S.C. through its Chairman and another Vs. Rahul Singh and another, AIR 2018 SC 2861** and **Vikesh Kumar Gupta and another Vs. State of Rajasthan and others (Civil Appeal Nos. 3649-3650 of 2020, decided on 07.12.2020).**

4. Petition, accordingly, stands dismissed.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

August 21, 2024
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No