

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

211

2024:PHHC:006562
CWP-6518-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.01.2024.

SARABJIT AND ANOTHER ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Akshay Rawal, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 4.
Mr. Sarbjeet Singh Khaira, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL).

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay compensation to the petitioners on account of death of their son Rohit Masih due to electrocution.

Separate replies on behalf of the respondents No.2 to 4 and 5 have already been filed.

This Court addressing identical facts in CWP-11626-2023 titled as “**Paramjeet Singh Vs. Punjab State Corporation Limited and another**” decided on 15.12.2023 with other connected matters has already passed a comprehensive judgment.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the present writ petition can be disposed of in terms of the aforesaid judgment.

Accordingly with the consent of the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of “**Paramjeet Singh Vs. Punjab State Corporation Limited and another**” decided on 15.12.2023..

January 18, 2024
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No