

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.41815 of 2023

Harwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M No.51513 of 2023

Harsimranjit Singh @ Simran Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

Date of decision: 4th March, 2024

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners in the petitions detailed hereinabove are seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.417 dated 04.11.2022 under Sections 326, 323, 506, 148, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran. Since both these petitions have originated from the same FIR, they are being taken up together for disposal by way of this common order.

2. On 06.11.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners and asked them to join investigation:

“Learned counsel for both the petitioners submitted that the injury in the present case is on the non vital part of the body and both the petitioners undertake to join the investigation and cooperate fully with the investigation process.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 06.11.2023, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmej Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petitions are allowed and the interim order dated 06.11.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioners misuse the concession of bail granted to them, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to them.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 4, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No