



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(216)

CWP No. 6479 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 24.09.2024

Harish Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate with
Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as in the interregnum the respondents had passed the order of regularizing the services of the petitioners prospectively, which is also causing prejudice to the petitioners as the other employees of the same selection have been regularized from a previous date, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate representation before the respondents claiming regularization of their services with effect from the date other similarly situated employees have been regularized in service.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioners, the same will be looked into in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order on the same will be



passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to grant the benefit as being claimed, the same will be granted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order, which will be conveyed to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 24, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No