

Amrik Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S. Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Dhruv Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Divij Datt, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.28 dated 31.01.2023 under Sections 420, 406, 120-B of IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Nissing, District Karnal (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case, the petitioner had no direct role to play and although the petitioner was involved in 15 more cases of similar nature, but in 13 matters, compromise has been effected and the petitioner is already on bail in those cases. He further submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

3. Learned State counsel does not dispute the factual submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant also submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and he has no objection, in case the

present petition is allowed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

31.01.2024
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No