



CRM-M-39790-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(208)

CRM-M-39790-2024
Date of Decision : 28.11.2024

Parminder Kaur ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 14.08.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition, filed under Section 482 of BNSS of 2023, prayer is made for grant of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No. 100 dated 13.7.2024, under Sections 406, 420 IPC, read with Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Shahkot, Police District Jalandhar Rural, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no transaction either in cash or through bank with the present petitioner, and she has been arrayed as an accused being motherin-law of the main accused.

Notice of motion for 28.11.2024.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab waives service on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall,



however, join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by ASI Puran Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and she is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 14.08.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;*
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;*
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”*

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 28, 2024
Manpreet