



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39884-2024

Date of Decision : 30.09.2024

VARINDER KUMAR

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. K.H.S.Bath, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 14.08.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition, under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0056 dated 20.03.2024, registered at Police Station Jamalpur, District Ludhiana, under Section 36(1) of Punjab Apartment and Property Regulation Act, 1995.

Placing reliance upon the order passed in CRM-M-38621-2024, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petitioner is on copedestal, as that of his co-accused, who has already been granted the relief of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 09.08.2024 (Annexure P-8).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Interim order on the same terms, as passed in CRM-M-38621-2024.

List on 30.09.2024.

To be heard along with CRM-M-38621-2024.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 14.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

September 30, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No