



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 20544 of 2024
Date of Decision : 27.08.2024

Aniket Chhabra and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate and
Ms. Rashika Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the petitioners are working as Patwari and are entitled for next promotion to the post of Kanungo.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that while computing the minimum service required for promotion, the training period is not being counted as a length of service so as to treat the petitioners ineligible and in case, the training period is included in the length of service, the petitioners become eligible for the grant of benefit of one time relaxation as granted to the other similarly situated employees vide letter dated 22.07.2024, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-8 for promotion to the post of Kanungo. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents be directed to grant the petitioners the benefit of one time relaxation by counting the training period in length of service.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 31.07.2024 (Annexure P-10) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 31.07.2024 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 27, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No