



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-39986-2024

Date of Decision : **September 12, 2024**

BHUPINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Davinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 20.8.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.65 dated 09.07.2024, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Section 117(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 added subsequently), registered at P.S. Kiratpur Sahib, District Rupnagar.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in fact, it is a case of version and cross-version, inasmuch as, the petitioner also suffered injuries at the hands of the complainant party, whereupon, a cross case bearing G.D. No.30 dated



CRM-M-39986-2024

-2-

09.07.2024, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, has been registered against the complainant party, on the basis of petitioner's statement.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner also draws attention of this Court towards Annexures P-4 and P-5, to submit that, thereby the petitioner's co-accused have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge concerned. He also draws attention of this Court towards Annexure P-6, to submit that, thereby even the complainant party, who are accused in the G.D. (supra), have also been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge concerned.

4. Notice of motion for 12.09.2024.

5. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

6. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023."

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.



CRM-M-39986-2024

-3-

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 20.8.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

September 12, 2024

ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No