



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M-39755-2024
Date of Decision: 20.08.2024

Manpreet Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate,
for the petitioner. (through video conferencing).

Ms. Swati Batra, D.A.G., Punjab.
(through video conferencing).

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
8	26.01.2024	Mullanpur, District SAS Nagar, Punjab	380 IPC

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS Act, 2023 for issuance of necessary directions to the respondent No. 2 and 3 to look into the matter and to take appropriate action for conducting fair investigation of above captioned FIR.

2. Notices are served upon the official respondents through the State's counsel. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, neither the response of official respondents nor the issuance of notices to the private respondents is required.
3. After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied, in case he is permitted to file a fresh representation and concerned authority be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.
4. Let the petitioner/victim make a representation to the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police in this regard, within two weeks from today. If the petitioner files a representation with in the above-mentioned time period of two weeks, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police, within two months, either himself/herself or by authorizing and delegating it to any officer holding



IPS cadre. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the representationists without delay. In the representation, petitioner to mention the email id of his counsel so that the concerned officer could communicate them the outcome.

5. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.

6. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.

7. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is disposed of to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

20.08.2024
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.