

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40382-2024
Date of decision: 27.08.2024

PARAMJIT KAUR

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the complainant.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his *vakalatnama* which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.
2. Learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that wrong sections have been added in the present petition as during the investigation, Section 4 of POCSO Act has been deleted and vide DDR No.20 dated 06.06.2024, offences under Sections 6, 17 and 21 of POCSO Act have been added.
3. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition and seeks liberty to file a fresh petition by adding the aforementioned offences in the head note as well as in the prayer clause.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

August 27, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
- Yes/No
- (ii) Whether reportable
- Yes/No