

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

282

CRWP-9019-2024
Date of Decision: 18.09.2024

Sukhdev Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Dr. Rau P.S. Girwar, Advocate
 Mr. K.T. Rau, Advocate and
 Ms. Archana Puhanian, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

 Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent No.4, petitioners have come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

2. State counsel on instructions of HC Harjeet Singh, submits that there was one complaint filed by Gurmail Singh against the petitioner and respondent No.16, in which he was summoned and other application filed by the petitioner himself which was inquired into. Hence, both the complaints stand filed. Now he is neither required for any purpose nor the police is asking him to appear before them.

3. Given above, the present petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.09.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes
No