



CWP-20115-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CWP-20115-2024

Date of Decision: 21.08.2024

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

I.K. Gujral Punjab Technical University
Kapurthala and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHIPresent: Mr. D.S. Rawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Sanket Dhall, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that seniority list dated 22.05.2024 (Annexure P-10) has been issued without even circulating the tentative seniority list and prejudice has been caused to the petitioner as he has been brought down in the seniority without any valid justification. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner intends to file a representation against the circulation of the said seniority list and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sanket Dhall, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.1 accepts notice and files memo of appearance in Court today, same is taken on record subject to just exceptions. He submits that in case any

**CWP-20115-2024****2**

representation is received from the petitioner raising any grievance qua the seniority list dated 22.05.2024 (Annexure P-10), appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of three months from the date the said claim is received and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief, the same will be granted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner which will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.1, the present petition be disposed of being not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

It may be noticed as the petitioner is claiming seniority over certain employees, all the employees who are likely to be affected by the outcome of the representation of the petitioner, should also be heard before passing any order to the representation.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

21.08.2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No