



CRM-M-40228-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(211)

CRM-M-40228-2024
Date of Decision : 23.09.2024

Jasveer Singh	...Petitioner
Versus	
State of Punjab	...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Narender Singh Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 21.08.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.140 dated 31.07.2023, under Sections 379, 411 of the IPC, 1860, and, Section 21 of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, registered at P.S. Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at the time of presenting challan against co-accused Mehal Singh, the names of petitioner and his co-accused were mentioned in column No.2 thereof, as they could not be arrested. He further submits that, except the secret information, which has constituted the bedrock for registration of the present FIR, the investigating agency is not seized of any cogent inculpatory evidence, which could connect the petitioner with the alleged crime.



3. Notice of motion for 23.09.2024.

4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by SI Joginder Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner had joined the investigation and has fully cooperated. He further submits that petitioner is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. Learned State counsel has also placed on record reply dated 21.09.2024, by way of affidavit of Mr. Shamsheer Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division, Faridkot, on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab, today in the Court. The same is taken on record.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 21.08.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when

CRM-M-40228-2024

required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

September 23, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No