

CRM-M-40238-2024 -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40238-2024
Date of decision: 30.09.2024

VIPAN KUMAR AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Prikshit Thakur, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
119	21.10.2021	420 and 120-B IPC	Ghuman, District Batala

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between

Division), Batala vide report dated 05.09.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 21.08.2024, an amount of Rs.15000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondents No. 2 to 4 are represented by their counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class-cum-Civil Judge (Junior Division), Batala and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In these circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 119 dated 21.10.2021, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC registered at P.S. Ghuman, District Batala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

30.09.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |