



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20283-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 28.08.2024

Anil Sharma and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Puneet Bali, Senior Advocate,
with Ms. Hanima Grewal, Advocate,
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Advocate,
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India,
with Ms. Promila Nain, Senior Panel Counsel (UOI),
Ms. Isha Dhingra, Advocate,
for the respondents.

* * * *

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (ORAL)

1. The challenge in this petition is essentially to the letter dated 29.07.2024 (Annexure P-1) issued by the Sub Registrar-cum-Tehsildar, Nahan, District Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh as well as the letters dated 11.07.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) issued by the Assistant Director, CDZO-I, Chandigarh, respectively, restraining the petitioners from creating any third party rights in their properties.

2. Learned senior panel counsel, appearing for the respondents - Union of India, has filed a preliminary reply on behalf of respondent No. 3, which is taken on record, *inter-alia*, informing that the letters dated 11.07.2024 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) issued to the concerned land



revenue authorities have since been withdrawn owing to the relevant property documents having been received by the Enforcement Directorate.

3. In view of the above, the cause raised in the petition has become infructuous.

4. The petition, accordingly, stands dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

28.08.2024
Amodh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No