



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-40009-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.09.2024

NAVEEN AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Jagdeep Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Vikas Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Roshan Lal Saini, Advocate for
Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 &3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bhartiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.256 dated
31.07.2016 under Sections 323/34/341/354/452/506 of IPC registered at
Police Station Beri, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent
proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated
08.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 20.08. 2024:-

*“This petition has been filed under
Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha
Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.256
dated 31.07.2016 under Sections
323/34/341/354/452/506 of IPC registered at
Police Station Beri District Jhajjar (Annexure*



P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 20.09.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Tushar Gera, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 20.09.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance”.

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.



4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.256 dated 31.07.2016 under Sections 323/34/341/354/452/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Beri, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners in view of compromise dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

20.09.2024
joyti

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No