

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARHSr. No.108LPA-2038-2024 (O&M)Date of decision : 30.08.2024

Naresh Kumar

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr.Shvetanshu Goel, Advocate, for the appellant.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. There is a delay of 220 days in filing of the present intra court appeal. The only reason given for seeking condonation of such delay is that the appellant was not aware about the pronouncement of the impugned judgment. Such justification given by the appellant is not acceptable. However, on the insistence of learned counsel for the appellant he has also been heard on merits.

2. It is not disputed that the appellant's services were terminated by the State on 31.01.1997 and that he challenged such termination through filing of a petition before this Court only in the year 2018. It is further the admitted position that in the interregnum the appellant did not even raise a little finger against the termination of his services.

3. In the light of the above, the present appeal is not only dismissed on the ground of delay but also on merits as the learned Single Judge is not found to have committed any error, legal or factual, in dismissing the appellant's writ petition filed by him after an unexplained delay of 21 years.

4. Dismissed.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

30.08.2024
shamsher

[DEEPAK MANCHANDA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No