



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(202)

CRM-M-40231-2024(O&M)

DATE OF DECISION:10.12.2024

Jatinder Kumar @ Mangi

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present Mr.Bhupinder Gupta, Advocate,
for Mr. G.S.Madan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Avneet, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J (ORAL)

1. On 21.08.2024, Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 B.N.S.S for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 279 dated 30.07.2002 under Sections 323, 324, 342, 427, 452, 148, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Nakodar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia submits that petitioner earlier appeared before the trial Court and was released on bail. Thereafter compromise was effected between the parties and the petitioner was of bonafide belief that his presence was not required before the trial Court. On 12.12.2008, the petitioner did not cause appearance before the trial Court and bail granted to him was cancelled by the trial Court. Thereafter, on 07.01.2010, the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person. Vide order dated 24.07.2024, the said order has already been set aside by this Court. He further submits that a petition for quashing of



present FIR No. 279 (supra) on the basis of compromise dated 08.08.2024 is also pending consideration today. It is further submitted that the other co-accused were convicted and they were acquitted by the trial Court vide order dated 12.10.2010 and one other co-accused who was declared as proclaimed offender later on appeared and on the basis of compromise, matter was settled in the Lok Adalat.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. She seeks some time file status report. A copy of the paper book be supplied to her during the course of the day.

Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondent No.2 today in the Court and filed his Power of Attorney. The same is taken on record. He affirmed the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Adjourned to 10.12.2024.

Petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within two weeks and on his appearance, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the conditions as under :-

- (i) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (ii) that the petitioner shall produce copy of his passport and copy of his social security number, if any, or furnish affidavit to the effect that he is not having social security number;*
- (iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.*

Status report be filed on or before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.”



2. Today, the learned State counsel has filed Status Report by way of affidavit of Sukhpal Singh, PPS, DSP, Sub Division, Nakodar, Jalandhar Rural, which is taken on record.
3. The Status Report (*Surpa*) reflects that in deference to the directions issued by this Court on 21.08.2024, the petitioner did appear before the trial Court concerned and he was granted relief of interim bail.
4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 21.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-
- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
 - (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
 - (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”
5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10.12.2024
mamta

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No