

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.5687 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.04.2024

Nafe Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Pawan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mani Ram Verma, Advocate
for respondents No.4, 5 & 7 to 15.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

CM-367-CWP-2024

Instant application has been filed for impleading legal heirs
of sole petitioner Nafe Singh in the present petition.

For the reasons recorded in the application, legal heirs of the
deceased petitioner namely Nafe Singh as mentioned in para No.2 of the
application are ordered to be impleaded as petitioners in the present
petition.

Amended memo of parties annexed with the application is
ordered to be taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an
appropriate place.

Application stands allowed.

CWP-5687-2018

Present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order dated 08.02.2018 (Annexure P-8) whereby revision petition filed by the petitioner has been dismissed by respondent No.1 and order dated 08.11.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.3 whereby Naksha “Kh” has been issued without considering the objections filed by the petitioner and the same are illegal, arbitrary and without appreciating the facts, circumstances and evidence on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that during the pendency of the present petition, both the sides have amicably resolved the issue by way of compromise dated 18.12.2023. He has fairly submitted that respondent No.6 though has died, however his son, namely, Bijender is present in person in Court and he has also compromised the matter.

On asking of the Court, Dharambir, son of late Nafe Singh i.e. petitioner No.4; Suresh, son of Teka i.e. respondent No.4 and Bijender, son of late Kartar Singh i.e. respondent No.6, who are present in present in Court, have affirmed that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Learned counsel for the respondents has also affirmed the compromise arrived at between the parties.

Both the parties have made a joint request that the present petition be disposed of in view of the compromise (Annexure P-10) arrived at between the parties.

In view of the above position, the present petition is disposed of as such. Parties would be abide by the terms and conditions of the compromise dated 18.12.2023 (Annexure P-10). The respondent-State would take further necessary action, if so required, keeping in view the compromise arrived at between the parties.

04.04.2024
rittu

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No