



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-41622-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.05.2024

Sukhdeep Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate and
Ms. P.S. Guliani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.240, dated 16.09.2021 under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471, 120-B and 409 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Sections 66 and 66-D of Information and Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Anaj Mandi, Patiala, District Patiala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that pursuant to the order dated 05.04.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for investigation of the case.



201-1 CRM-M-41622-2023 (O&M)

- 3. Learned State counsel on instruction from SI Gurpreet Kaur, Police Station Anaj Mandi, Patiala, corroborates the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.
- 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 5. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 05.04.2024 is made absolute.
- 6. However, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

24.05.2024
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No